

January 16, 2025



RE: v. WV DoHS
ACTION NO.: 24-BOR-3684

Dear :

Enclosed is a copy of the decision resulting from the hearing held in the above-referenced matter.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Human Services. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

You will find attached an explanation of possible actions you may take if you disagree with the decision reached in this matter.

Sincerely,

Todd Thornton State Hearing Officer Member, State Board of Review

Encl: Recourse to Hearing Decision

Form IG-BR-29

cc: Kesha Walton, Department Representative Terry McGee, II, Department Representative

WEST VIRGINIA OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL BOARD OF REVIEW

Appellant,

v. Action Number: 24-BOR-3684

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES BUREAU FOR MEDICAL SERVICES,

Respondent.

DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER

INTRODUCTION

This is the decision of the State Hearing Officer resulting from a fair hearing for This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in Chapter 700 of the Office of Inspector General Common Chapters Manual. This fair hearing was convened on December 26, 2024, on a timely appeal filed on November 15, 2024.

The matter before the Hearing Officer arises from the October 14, 2024 decision by the Respondent to deny medical eligibility for Long Term Care Medicaid (LTC-M).

At the hearing, the Respondent appeared by Terry McGee, II. Appearing as a witness for the Respondent was Melissa Grega. The Appellant appeared *pro se*. Appearing as witnesses for the Appellant were All witnesses were sworn and the following documents were admitted into evidence.

EXHIBITS

Department's Exhibits:

D-1 Notice of decision, dated October 14, 2024
 D-2 ACENTRA document summarizing or excerpting Department policy
 D-3 Pre-Admission Screening (PAS) form, dated October 14, 2024

Appellant's Exhibits:

None

After a review of the record, including testimony, exhibits, and stipulations admitted into evidence at the hearing, and after assessing the credibility of all witnesses and weighing the evidence in consideration of the same, the Hearing Officer sets forth the following Findings of Fact.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1) The Appellant is a nursing home resident who was evaluated for Long Term Care Medicaid (LTC-M) in October 2024.
- 2) An assessment of the Appellant was documented in a Pre-Admission Screening (PAS) document (Exhibit D-3), completed on October 14, 2024, by and reviewed on the same date by Melissa Grega, the Respondent's assessing nurse.
- 3) The Appellant's October 2024 PAS (Exhibit D-3) revealed zero (0) deficits as defined by medical eligibility policy.
- 4) The Respondent issued an October 14, 2024 (Exhibit D-1) notice to the Appellant, advising that her "...request for Long-Term Care (Nursing Facility) admission has been denied," because "...Documentation does not reflect that you have five (5) deficits at the level required..."
- 5) This notice (Exhibit D-1) indicates that the assessment of the Appellant "reflected deficiencies that meet the severity criteria in 0 areas ..."
- 6) The Appellant disputed the Respondent's findings in *transferring* and *walking*.
- 7) The Appellant does not require one-person assistance in *walking*.
- 8) The Appellant does not require one-person assistance in *transferring*.

APPLICABLE POLICY

Bureau for Medical Services (BMS) Manual § 514.5.3 *Medical Eligibility Regarding the PAS* provides in part:

To qualify medically for the nursing facility Medicaid benefit, an individual must need direct nursing care 24 hours a day, seven days a week. The BMS has designated a tool known as the Pre-Admission Screening (PAS) form (Appendix B) to be utilized for physician certification of the medical needs of individuals applying for the Medicaid benefit.

An individual must have a minimum of five deficits identified on the PAS. These deficits will be determined based on the review by a BMS/designee in order to qualify for the Medicaid nursing facility benefit.

These deficits may be any of the following (numbers represent questions on the PAS form):

- #24: Decubitus Stage 3 or 4
- #25: In the event of an emergency, the individual is c) mentally unable or d) physically unable to vacate a building. a) independently and b) with supervision are not considered deficits
- #26: Functional abilities of the individual in the home.
 - Eating: Level 2 or higher (physical assistance to get nourishment, not preparation)
 - o Bathing: Level 2 or higher (physical assistance or more)
 - o Grooming: Level 2 or higher (physical assistance or more)
 - o Dressing: Level 2 or higher (physical assistance or more)
 - o Continence: Level 3 or higher (must be incontinent)
 - Orientation: Level 3 or higher (totally disoriented, comatose)
 - o Transfer: Level 3 or higher (one person or two persons assist in the home)
 - o Walking: Level 3 or higher (one person assists in the home)
 - Wheeling: Level 3 or higher (must be Level 3 or 4 on walking in the home to use, Level 3 or 4 for wheeling in the home.) Do not count outside the home.
- #27: Individual has skilled needs in one of these areas (g) suctioning, (h) tracheostomy, (i) ventilator, (k) parenteral fluids, (l) sterile dressings, or (m) irrigations
- #28: Individual is not capable of administering his/her own medications

DISCUSSION

The Appellant requested a hearing to appeal the Respondent's decision to deny Long Term Care Medicaid (LTC-M) based on medical findings. The Respondent must show, by a preponderance of the evidence, that it correctly denied the Appellant's LTC-M on this basis.

The Appellant is a resident in a nursing facility and was assessed for LTC-M eligibility in October 2024. The findings from this assessment were recorded on a PAS document (Exhibit D-3) completed by a physician from the facility identified as This document was reviewed by the Respondent's assessing nurse to determine the number of "area of care needs," or deficits, that meet the LTC-M policy severity criteria. Five (5) deficits are required to establish medical eligibility for LTC-M, and the Appellant was assessed with zero (0) deficits. At the hearing, the Appellant disputed Respondent findings in the areas of *transferring* and *walking*.

The Appellant testified regarding her diagnoses and medical conditions. She stated that she has diabetes, PTSD, anxiety, depression, and numbness. These diagnoses and medical conditions are not identified as deficits in medical eligibility policy.

The Appellant testified regarding her ability to walk. To help with her balance, the Appellant walks using a walker or holding onto items as she walks. This testimony describes a Level 2 in the area of *walking* (requiring supervision or the use of an assistive device to walk). The Appellant was assessed as independent in this area, or a Level 1. Although the testimony was convincing and the Appellant was rated at the incorrect level (Level 1), the corrected level (Level 2) is insufficient for a deficit in the area of walking. Policy requires a Level 3, or one-person assistance, to award a deficit for walking. There was no convincing evidence or testimony that the Appellant functions at this level. The Respondent was correct to not award a deficit in the area of *walking*.

The Appellant testified about her transferring ability. She stated she has weakness in her back. She uses rails or something to hold onto when she transfers from a seated position to a standing position. She testified that she does not need one-on-one assistance to transfer. Medical eligibility policy requires an individual to be assessed as needing one-person assistance or greater (designated as Level 3) to be awarded a transferring deficit. The Respondent was correct to not award a deficit in the area of *transferring*. No additional deficits were established through evidence or testimony.

Based on the reliable evidence and testimony from the hearing, the Respondent correctly assessed the Appellant with zero (0) deficits and was correct to deny Long Term Care Medicaid eligibility to the Appellant based on the medical findings on her October 2024 PAS.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1) Because no additional deficits were revealed at the hearing, the Appellant was correctly assessed with zero (0) deficits, or area of care needs.
- 2) Because the Appellant did not have at least five (5) deficits, medical eligibility criteria for LTC-M was not met.

3) Because medical eligibility for LTC-M was not met, the Respondent correctly denied LTC-M benefits to the Appellant.

DECISION

It is the decision of the State Hearing Officer to **UPHOLD** the action of the Respondent to deny the Appellant's Long Term Care Medicaid benefits based on medical eligibility findings.

ENTERED this day of January 2025.	
	Todd Thornton
	State Hearing Officer