

January 10, 2025



RE: v. WVDoHS

ACTION NO.: 24-BOR-3816



Enclosed is a copy of the decision resulting from the hearing held in the above-referenced matter.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Human Services. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

You will find attached an explanation of possible actions you may take if you disagree with the decision reached in this matter.

Sincerely,

Eric L. Phillips State Hearing Officer Member, State Board of Review

Encl: Recourse to Hearing Decision

Form IG-BR-29

cc: Kristyne Hoskins, BFA

WEST VIRGINIA OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL BOARD OF REVIEW

Appellant,

v. Action Number: 24-BOR-3816

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, BUREAU OF FAMILY ASSISTANCE

Respondent.

DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER

INTRODUCTION

This is the decision of the State Hearing Officer resulting from a fair hearing for . This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in Chapter 700 of the Office of Inspector General Common Chapters Manual. This fair hearing was convened on January 8, 2025, on an appeal filed December 5, 2024

The matter before the Hearing Officer arises from the November 5, 2024 decision by the Respondent to terminate Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program benefits.

At the hearing, the Respondent appeared by Kristyne Hoskins, Economic Service Worker Senior. The Appellant appeared pro se. All witnesses were sworn and the following documents were admitted into evidence.

Department's Exhibits:

- D-1 CLSE Form dated October 17, 2024
- D-2 Case Comments dated November 19, 2024
- D-3 CSL4 dated November 8, 2024
- D-4 Notice of Decision dated November 18, 2024
- D-5 Case Comments dated November 21, 2024
- D-6 CSLN dated November 20, 2024
- D-7 Case Comments dated November 27, 2024
- D-8 Case Comments dated December 5, 2024
- D-9 Completed CSLE dated December 10, 2024

- D-10 Case Comments dated December 10, 2024
- D-11 Income Summary
- D-12 Assistance Group Composition Details
- D-13 SNAP Budget Printout
- D-14 Shelter Utility Expense Statement
- D-15 West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual § 3.2.1.A.6
- D-16 Statement from Family Support Specialist dated December 30, 2024

Appellant's Exhibits:

- A-1 Copy of Cleared Check dated November 26, 2024
- A-2 Copy of Cleared Check dated December 3, 2024

After a review of the record, including testimony, exhibits, and stipulations admitted into evidence at the hearing, and after assessing the credibility of all witnesses and weighing the evidence in consideration of the same, the Hearing Officer sets forth the following Findings of Fact.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1) The Appellant was a recipient of SNAP benefits.
- 2) The Appellant resides with his father.
- 3) The Appellant's SNAP benefit certification period expired on November 30, 2024.
- 4) The Appellant was required to complete a CSLE SNAP eligibility review form (Exhibit D-1) and telephonic interview prior to November 30, 2024.
- 5) The Appellant failed to submit the CSLE review and complete the required interview by the established deadline dates.
- 6) On November 18, 2024, the Respondent issued notice (Exhibit D-4) to the Appellant advising him that his SNAP benefits were being terminated because his certification period for benefits expired November 2024.
- 7) On December 10, 2024, the Appellant submitted CSLE and completed the required eligibility interview. (Exhibit D-9)
- 8) The Appellant reported monthly income from the Social Security Administration (SSA) in the amount of \$1804.00. (Exhibit D-9)
- 9) The Appellant reported that he purchased and prepared his meals with his father. (Exhibit D-10)

- 10) The Appellant's monthly income from the SSA increased for January 2025 to \$1862.70. (Exhibit D-11)
- 11) The Appellant's father has a monthly income from the SSA in the amount of \$2131.70. (Exhibit D-11)
- 12) The Respondent utilized a total monthly gross income for the household of \$3994.70. (Exhibit D-13)
- 13) The Appellant provided a statement that he pays \$1035.00 monthly to his father for household expenses. (Exhibit D-14)
- 14) The Respondent did not utilize the household expenses statement because it did not have a documented phone number. (Exhibit D-14)

APPLICABLE POLICY

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual § 4.4.3.B documents in part:

SNAP certification for residents of shelters for battered persons and their children are based on the income, assets, and expenses of the client and their children. See Sections 5.6 and 16.2.

The following steps are used to determine countable income for cases meeting the eligibility tests above.

- Step 1: Combine monthly gross countable earnings and monthly gross profit from self-employment.
- Step 2: Deduct 20% of Step 1.
- Step 3: Add the gross countable unearned income, including the WV WORKS benefit and any amount reduced or being repaid to WV WORKS due to failure to comply with a program requirement. See Section 4.4.4.
- Step 4: Subtract the Standard Deduction found in Appendix B.
- Step 5: Subtract allowable Dependent Care Expenses.
- Step 6: Subtract the amount of legally obligated child support actually paid.
- Step 7: Subtract the Homeless Shelter Standard Deduction found in Appendix B.
- Step 8: Subtract allowable medical expenses in excess of \$35.
- Step 9: Calculate 50% of the remaining income and compare it to the actual monthly shelter/SUA amount.

Step 10:

	No One Elderly or Disabled	At Least One Person Elderly or Disabled
Shelter/SUA Equal to Or Less Than Step 9	No further computation is needed. The amount from Step 8 is the countable income.	No further computation is needed. The amount from Step 8 is the countable income.
Shelter/SUA Greater Than Step 9	The amount in excess of 50%, not to exceed the shelter/utility cap, in Appendix B is deducted to arrive at countable income.	The amount in excess of 50% is deducted, without regard to the shelter/utility cap, in Appendix B to arrive at countable income.

Step 11: Compare the countable income to the maximum net income in Appendix A for the AG size. This net income test does not apply to Categorically Eligible AGs. See Chapter 1.

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual § 4.4.3.C

To determine the SNAP allotment, find the countable income and the maximum benefit allotment for the AG in Appendix A. One- and two-person AGs who meet the gross and net income test or who are categorically eligible, as defined in Section 1.4.17.C automatically receive the minimum SNAP benefit, unless it is a prorated benefit. See Appendix D, SNAP and WV WORKS Proration Table. No benefits are issued to any AG eligible for an initial, prorated amount less than \$10. See Chapter 1 for proration requirements. The Worker will determine the benefit amount by using the following method. The eligibility system also uses this method.

Computation of Benefit Amount	Example	
Multiply net income by 30% (Round up)	\$ 554 Net monthly income <u>X .30</u> \$166.20 = \$167	
Subtract 30% of net income as calculated above from the maximum monthly benefit for the AG size	\$973 Maximum allotment for four -167 30% of net income \$806 SNAP benefit for a full month	

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual 4.4.1.B documents in part:

The Worker must consider information about the client's income sources before deciding which income to use.

The Worker must follow the steps below for each old income source.

Step 1: Determine the amount of income received by all persons in the Income Group (IG) in the 30 calendar days prior to the application/redetermination date, or interview date when the interview is completed on a different day than when the application is received. The appropriate time period is determined by counting back beginning with the calendar day prior to the date application/redetermination. However, if the interview is completed on a different day than when the date the application/redetermination is received, the 30-day lookback period could begin the day before the interview date. The income from this 30-day period is the minimum amount of income that must be considered. When, in the Worker's judgment, future income may be more reasonably anticipated by considering the income from a longer period of time, the Worker considers income for the time period he determines to be reasonable. Whether the Worker considers income from the prior 30 days, or from a longer period of time, all of the income received from that source during that time period must be considered. All pay periods during the appropriate time period must be considered and must be consecutive. If the client provided sufficient income verification on the date the application/redetermination is received, then additional verification is not required at interview. The year-to-date amounts on check stubs may only be used when the client has verification of all payment amounts whether used or not but is missing one.

Step 2: Determine if the income from the previous 30 days is reasonably expected to continue into the new certification period. If it is not expected to continue, the income from this source is no longer considered for use in the new certification period. If it is expected to continue, determine if the amount is reasonably expected to be more or less the same. If so, the income source is used for the new certification period and treated according to Section 4.4.1.D below. If it is not expected to continue at more or less the same amount, the income source is used for the new certification period and treated according to Section 4.4.1.C below.

Step 3: Record the results of Step 2, including the amount of income, why the source is or is not being considered for the new certification period, the client's statement about continuation of the income from this source, the time period used, and, if more than the previous 30 days, the reason additional income was considered. Once the Worker has determined all of the old sources of income to consider and the time period for which they are considered, he must then determine if any source should be considered for future income.

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual § 4.4.2.B.7 documents in part:

After all other exclusions, disregards, and deductions have been applied, 50% of the remaining income is compared to the total monthly shelter costs and the appropriate SUA. If the shelter costs/SUA exceed 50% of the remaining income, the amount in excess of 50% is deducted. The deduction cannot exceed the shelter/utility cap found in Appendix B.

The Worker must allow the expense only if the AG is obligated to pay with the AG's excluded or non-excluded resources. There is no time limit during the certification period for deciding when an AG is no longer allowed a deduction for the bill. The AG is no longer allowed the deduction when the expense is no longer billed or is no longer due. An expense does not have to be paid to be a deduction. In order to receive a shelter deduction, the expense/obligation must be verified at a minimum of application and redetermination, or when the AG reports a change in shelter expense.

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual § 4.4.2 documents in part:

Certain items may be allowed as income deductions to arrive at an AG's countable income, (even if the payment is made from assets). To receive a deduction, the expense must:

- Not be an educational expense;
- Be billed or be due during the certification period in which the deduction is claimed;
- Be obligated to be met by the AG's own resources; and
- Be owed to an individual not included in the AG to receive a deduction.

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual § 4.4.2.C.1 documents in part:

SUAs are fixed deductions that are adjusted yearly to allow for fluctuations in utility expenses. AGs with utility expenses for both occupied and unoccupied homes may only use the SUA for one home of his choice. These deductions are the Heating/Cooling Standard (HCS), the Non-Heating/Cooling Standard (NHCS), and the One Utility Standard (OUS). The current SUA amounts are found in Appendix B. AGs that are obligated to pay from their resources a utility expense that is billed separately from their shelter expenses are eligible for an SUA deduction. AGs that are not obligated to pay any utility expense are ineligible for the SUA, even if other residents pay utility expenses. Eligibility for the SUA must be evaluated at certification, redetermination, and when the AG reports a change in utilities that may affect its eligibility for a deduction. Items that are considered utilities include, but are not limited to:

- Water, including well installation and maintenance
- Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LP or LPG) or natural gas
- Wood, wood pellets, coal, and heating oil
- Electricity
- Sewage, including septic tank system installation and maintenance
- Garbage collection
- The basic rate for one telephone, either landline or cellular service, but not both. Basic rates include, but are not limited to, taxes, wire maintenance fees, subscriber line charges, relay center surcharges, and 911 fees. It does not include extra services such as, call-waiting, caller ID, etc. Items not considered utilities include, but are not limited to:
- Cable/digital/satellite television service

- Internet service
- Utility deposits
- Pre-paid cell phones

Code of Federal Regulations 273.10 documents:

Calculating net income and benefit levels —

- (1) Net monthly income.
 - (i) To determine a household's net monthly income, the State agency shall:
 - (A) Add the gross monthly income earned by all household members and the total monthly unearned income of all household members, minus income exclusions, to determine the household's total gross income. Net losses from the self-employment income of a farmer shall be offset in accordance with § 273.11(a)(2)(iii).
 - (B) Multiply the total gross monthly earned income by 20 percent and subtract that amount from the total gross income; or multiply the total gross monthly earned income by 80 percent and add that to the total monthly unearned income, minus income exclusions. If the State agency has chosen to treat legally obligated child support payments as an income exclusion in accordance with § 273.9(c)(17), multiply the excluded earnings used to pay child support by 20 percent and subtract that amount from the total gross monthly income.
 - (C) Subtract the standard deduction.
 - (D) If the household is entitled to an excess medical deduction as provided in § 273.9(d)(3), determine if total medical expenses exceed \$35. If so, subtract that portion which exceeds \$35.
 - (E) Subtract allowable monthly dependent care expenses, if any, as specified under § 273.9(d)(4) for each dependent.
 - (F) If the State agency has chosen to treat legally obligated child support payments as a deduction rather than an exclusion in accordance with § 273.9(d)(5), subtract allowable monthly child support payments in accordance with § 273.9(d)(5).
 - (G) Subtract the homeless shelter deduction, if any, up to the maximum of \$143.
 - (H) Total the allowable shelter expenses to determine shelter costs, unless a deduction has been subtracted in accordance with paragraph (e)(1)(i)(G) of this section. Subtract from total shelter costs 50 percent of the household's monthly income after all the above deductions have been subtracted. The remaining amount, if any, is the excess shelter cost. If there is no excess shelter cost, the net monthly

income has been determined. If there is excess shelter cost, compute the shelter deduction according to paragraph (e)(1)(i)(I) of this section.

- (I) Subtract the excess shelter cost up to the maximum amount allowed for the area (unless the household is entitled to the full amount of its excess shelter expenses) from the household's monthly income after all other applicable deductions. Households not subject to a capped shelter expense shall have the full amount exceeding 50 percent of their net income subtracted. The household's net monthly income has been determined.
- (ii) In calculating net monthly income, the State agency shall use one of the following two procedures:
 - (A) Round down each income and allotment calculation that ends in 1 through 49 cents and round up each calculation that ends in 50 through 99 cents; or
 - (B) Apply the rounding procedure that is currently in effect for the State's Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program. If the State TANF program includes the cents in income calculations, the State agency may use the same procedures for SNAP income calculations. Whichever procedure is used, the State agency may elect to include the cents associated with each individual shelter cost in the computation of the shelter deduction and round the final shelter deduction amount. Likewise, the State agency may elect to include the cents associated with each individual medical cost in the computation of the medical deduction and round the final medical deduction amount.

(2) Eligibility and benefits.

- (A) Households which contain an elderly or disabled member as defined in § 271.2, shall have their net income, as calculated in paragraph (e)(1) of this section (except for households considered destitute in accordance with paragraph (e)(3) of this section), compared to the monthly income eligibility standards defined in § 273.9(a)(2) for the appropriate household size to determine eligibility for the month.
- (B) In addition to meeting the net income eligibility standards, households which do not contain an elderly or disabled member shall have their gross income, as calculated in accordance with <u>paragraph (e)(1)(i)(A)</u> of this section, compared to the gross monthly income standards defined in § 273.9(a)(1) for the appropriate household size to determine eligibility for the month.
- (C) For households considered destitute in accordance with <u>paragraph (e)(3)</u> of this section, the State agency shall determine a household's eligibility by computing its gross and net income according to <u>paragraph (e)(3)</u> of this section, and comparing, as appropriate, the gross and/or net income to the corresponding income eligibility standard in accordance with § 273.9(a) (1) or (2).

- (D) If a household contains a member who is fifty-nine years old on the date of application, but who will become sixty before the end of the month of application, the State agency shall determine the household's eligibility in accordance with $\frac{1}{2}$ paragraph (e)(2)(i)(A) of this section.
- (E) If a household contains a student whose income is excluded in accordance with § 273.9(c)(7) and the student becomes 18 during the month of application, the State agency shall exclude the student's earnings in the month of application and count the student's earnings in the following month. If the student becomes 18 during the certification period, the student's income shall be excluded until the month following the month in which the student turns 18.
- (A) Except as provided in <u>paragraphs (a)(1), (e)(2)(iii)</u> and <u>(e)(2)(vi)</u> of this section, the household's monthly allotment shall be equal to the maximum SNAP allotment for the household's size reduced by 30 percent of the household's net monthly income as calculated in <u>paragraph (e)(1)</u> of this section. If 30 percent of the household's net income ends in cents, the State agency shall round in one of the following ways:
 - (1) The State agency shall round the 30 percent of net income up to the nearest higher dollar; or
 - (2) The State agency shall not round the 30 percent of net income at all. Instead, after subtracting the 30 percent of net income from the appropriate Thrifty Food Plan, the State agency shall round the allotment down to the nearest lower dollar.
- (B) If the calculation of benefits in accordance with <u>paragraph (e)(2)(ii)(A)</u> of this section for an initial month would yield an allotment of less than \$10 for the household, no benefits shall be issued to the household for the initial month.
- (C) Except during an initial month, all eligible one-person and two-person households shall receive minimum monthly allotments equal to the minimum benefit. The minimum benefit is 8 percent of the maximum allotment for a household of one, rounded to the nearest whole dollar.
- (iii) For an eligible household with three or more members which is entitled to no benefits (except because of the proration requirements of paragraph (a)(1) and the provision precluding issuances of less than \$10 in an initial month of paragraph (e)(2)(ii)(B)) of this section:
 - (A) The State agency shall deny the household's application on the grounds that its net income exceeds the level at which benefits are issued; or
 - (B) The State agency shall certify the household but suspend its participation, subject to the following conditions:

- (1) The State agency shall inform the suspended household, in writing, of its suspended status, and of its rights and responsibilities while it is in that status.
- (2) The State agency shall set the household's change reporting requirements and the manner in which those changes will be reported and processed.
- (3) The State agency shall specify which changes shall entitle the household to have its status converted from suspension to issuance, and which changes shall require the household to reapply for participation.
- (4) The household shall retain the right to submit a new application while it is suspended.
- (5) The State agency shall convert a household from suspension to issuance status, without requiring an additional certification interview, and issue its initial allotment, within ten days of the date the household reports the change.
- (6) The State agency shall prorate the household's benefits, in the first month after the suspension period, from the date the household reports a change, in accordance with paragraph (a)(1) of this section.
- (7) The State agency may delay the work registration of the household's members until the household is determined to be entitled to benefits.
- (iv) For those eligible households which are entitled to no benefits in their initial month of application, in accordance with paragraph(a)(1) or paragraph(a) or paragraph(a) or paragraph(a) or paragraph(a) o
- (v) When a household's circumstances change and it becomes entitled to a different income eligibility standard, the State agency shall apply the different standard at the next recertification or whenever the State agency changes the household's eligibility, benefit level or certification period, whichever occurs first.
- (vi) During a month when a reduction, suspension or cancellation of allotments has been ordered pursuant to the provisions of § 271.7, eligible households shall have their benefits calculated as follows:
 - (A) If a benefit reduction is ordered, State agencies shall reduce the maximum SNAP allotment amounts for each household size by the percentage ordered in the Department's notice on benefit reductions. State agencies shall multiply the maximum SNAP allotment amounts by the percentage specified in the FNS notice; if the result ends in 1 through 99 cents, round the result up to the nearest higher dollar; and subtract the result from the normal maximum SNAP allotment amount. In calculating benefit levels for eligible households, State agencies would follow the procedures detailed in paragraph (e)(2)(ii) of this section and substitute the

reduced maximum SNAP allotment amounts for the normal maximum SNAP allotment amounts.

- (B) Except as provided in <u>paragraphs (a)(1)</u>, <u>(e)(2)(ii)(B)</u>, and <u>(e)(2)(vi)(C)</u> of this section, one- and two-person households shall be provided with at least the minimum benefit.
- (C) In the event that the national reduction in benefits is 90 percent or more of the benefits projected to be issued for the affected month, the provision for a minimum benefit for households with one or two members only may be disregarded and all households may have their benefits lowered by reducing maximum SNAP allotment amounts by the percentage specified by the Department. The benefit reduction notice issued by the Department to effectuate a benefit reduction will specify whether minimum benefits for households with one or two members only are to be provided to households.
- (D) If the action in effect is a suspension or cancellation, eligible households shall have their allotment levels calculated according to the procedures in paragraph (e)(2)(ii) of this section. However, the allotments shall not be issued for the month the suspension or cancellation is in effect. The provision for the minimum benefit for households with one or two members only shall be disregarded and all households shall have their benefits suspended or cancelled for the designated month.
- (E) In the event of a suspension or cancellation, or a reduction exceeding 90 percent of the affected month's projected issuance, all households, including one and two-person households, shall have their benefits suspended, cancelled or reduced by the percentage specified by FNS.

DISCUSSION

The Appellant appeals the Respondent's decision to terminate his monthly SNAP benefit allotment. The Appellant's certification period for SNAP benefits expired on November 30, 2024. Prior to the expiration date, the Appellant was required to submit an eligibility redetermination (Exhibit D-1) and complete an interview with the Respondent to determine his continued eligibility for benefits. The Appellant failed to complete the necessary requirements prior to the certification expiration period resulting in the termination of his SNAP benefits effective November 30, 2024. (Exhibit D-4) On December 10, 2024, prior to the scheduled hearing, the Appellant completed the necessary requirements to redetermine his eligibility for SNAP benefits; however, the Respondent denied the Appellant's continued eligibility due to excessive income. The Respondent must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it correctly terminated the Appellant's monthly SNAP benefits.

Previously, the Appellant received benefits for himself in his own assistance group. Kristyne Hoskins, Economic Service Worker Senior, testified that during the eligibility redetermination

interview, the Appellant reported that he purchased and prepared his meals with his father (Exhibit D-10), resulting in the inclusion of the Appellant's father and his income in the assistance group. Ms. Hoskins stated that the increased income was excessive for program guidelines resulting in the continued termination of benefits. Additionally, Ms. Hoskins testified that the Appellant reported shelter cost expenses in the amount of \$1035.00 paid to his father, but the Respondent did not consider the expenses because the provided statement (Exhibit D-14) failed to include a phone number of the Appellant's father.

In its determination of the Appellant's ongoing eligibility for SNAP benefits, the Respondent utilized a total monthly income amount of \$3994.40. (Exhibit D-13) This amount included both the Appellant's income and his father's income from the SSA. The total household income was utilized due to the Appellant's report that he purchased and prepared meals with his father. (Exhibit D-10). The Appellant disputed the total amount of income, citing that he only receives income from the SSA in the monthly amount of \$1172.00 and \$632.00. However, the Appellant later testified that "if his Dad didn't cook for him, he wouldn't eat." Further, the Appellant contested the Respondent's failure to include his monthly expenses because he provided copies of cleared checks from the banking institutions (Exhibit A-1 and A-2) which include the household's phone number. The Appellant purported that he pays \$1000.00 monthly toward his share of the expenses, which differed than the amount utilized by the Respondent, but failed to provide any conclusive evidence to indicate the total expenses or shelter cost of the household.

Policy mandates that SNAP assistance groups must include all eligible individuals who both live together and purchase food and meals together. Policy defines a group of individuals who live together and who customarily purchase food and prepare meals together as an assistance group with customarily meaning the purchasing of food and preparing meals more than 50% of the time. During the hearing, the Appellant asserted that his father prepared meals for the household; therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that both individuals in the same household purchase and prepare their meals together. Accordingly, all income, assets and expenses of the household should be considered when determining the household's eligibility for SNAP benefits. Policy mandates that certain items may be allowed as income deductions to arrive at an assistance group's countable income. These deductions include earned income disregards, standard deductions, dependent care deductions, child support deductions, shelter and utility deductions, as well as medical expenses. In order to receive the deduction, the expense must not be owed to an individual included in the assistance group. Evidence is clear that the Respondent utilized the household's total monthly income and the Appellant's share of household expenses (Exhibit D-13); however, there is no evidence to support that the Respondent considered the entire household's expenses when making its eligibility determination. Because the Respondent failed to consider the total household expenses it failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it correctly denied the Appellant's SNAP eligibility.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1) Policy requires that individuals who reside together and customarily purchase food and prepare meals together be included in the same assistance group.

- 2) Evidence reveals that the Appellant and his father purchase and prepare meals together and are considered one assistance group.
- 3) Policy requires a determination of monthly amount of income and deductions when determining SNAP eligibility.
- 4) Policy allows certain items to be included as deductions when arriving at an assistance group's countable income. The expense must be obligated to be met by the assistance group's own resources and be owed to an individual not included in the assistance group to receive a deduction.
- 4) Evidence documents that the Respondent utilized \$1035.00 in shelter costs paid by the Appellant to his father who is included in the assistance group. Therefore, these shelter costs cannot be utilized in determining SNAP eligibility.
- 5) Because the Appellant's father is included in the assistance group, his shelter and allowable expenses shall be included in the SNAP eligibility determination.
- 6) Evidence failed to support that the Respondent correctly utilized total household expenses, specifically the Appellant's father's expenses, when determining the assistance group's eligibility for SNAP benefits.
- 7) Because the Respondent incorrectly assessed household income deductions, the Respondent's decision to deny SNAP assistance cannot be affirmed.

DECISION

It is the decision of the State Hearing Officer to REVERSE the decision of the Respondent to deny Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program benefits. This matter is REMANDED for consideration of the assistance group's total expenses and income deductions.

ENTERED this	day of January 2025.	
	Eric L. Phillips	
	State Hearing Officer	